## Purification of (His)<sub>6</sub>-tagged proteins using HiTrap Chelating HP columns charged with different metal ions

## Summary

Three important parameters—the nature of the protein, the metal ion used for charging the chelating column, and the position of the histidine tag on the protein—were investigated for their effect on purification of histidine-tagged proteins by immobilized metal affinity chromatography.

In the first set of experiments, six different  $(His)_6$ -tagged proteins were purified under native or denaturing conditions using HiTrap<sup>TM</sup> Chelating HP columns charged with different metal ions, Fig 1. Although the results obtained with a specific protein varied somewhat depending on the ion used, several different ions were effective for purifying each protein. When the proteins were characterized by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, a similar level of purity was observed for each protein regardless of the ion used. Results indicated that the strength of binding depends on both the metal ion used and the nature of the  $(His)_6$ -tagged protein itself. Both factors must therefore be considered during optimization of a purification protocol and especially when a "difficult" protein must be purified.

Proteins can be labelled with histidine residues at either their N or C terminus. However, the position of the tag may affect protein behavior and, ultimately, its binding to metal ions. To investigate this possible effect, Maltose Binding Protein was labelled with  $(His)_6$  at its N-terminus, C-terminus, or both termini, and then purified using HiTrap Chelating HP columns charged with different metal ions. Results suggested that the position of the tag on the protein may indeed influence the strength with which a protein binds to a specific metal ion and consequently its purification. This factor should thus be considered when developing and optimizing a purification strategy using immobilized metal affinity chromatography.



Fig 1. HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns charged with Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>.

## Introduction

Immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) is a very useful method for purifying histidine-tagged fusion proteins (1). HiTrap Chelating HP columns, prepacked with Chelating Sepharose<sup>™</sup> High Performance, are effective and convenient tools for this application. The medium, supplied free of metal ions, is first charged with transition metal ions that bind strongly to the iminodiacetic acid (IDA) ligand on the Sepharose to form a chelate. Proteins with surface-exposed amino acid residues such as histidine, will bind to the ions, thus forming a complex. The strength of binding between a protein and a metal ion is affected by several factors, including the length of the affinity tag on the protein, the type of ion used, and the pH of buffers. Because the metal ions are strongly chelated by the IDA ligand, bound protein can be eluted either competitively with a strong chelating agent such as imidazole, or by simply lowering the pH. These properties make IMAC especially useful for purifying membrane proteins and protein aggregates where detergents or high ionic strength buffers are required.



His-tagged proteins that contain a stretch of histidine residues (most common is six residues, but any number from two to ten have been used) at the carboxyl or amino terminus will bind to the immobilized divalent/trivalent metal ions. Although exposed histidine groups will form complexes with many transition metal ions, binding effects vary depending on the ion. Therefore, it is not always possible to predict which ion will be most appropriate for purifying a given protein.

# 1. Purification of six different (His)<sub>6</sub>-tagged proteins using different metal ions

#### **Protein samples**

In this study, six different  $(His)_6$ -tagged proteins were purified by IMAC on HiTrap Chelating HP columns. The affinity tag was either positioned at the N or C terminus. (Table 1). All of these proteins were expressed in *E. coli*— five as soluble proteins and one,  $(His)_6$ -scFv fragment, as inclusion bodies that required denaturing conditions for purification. Each protein was purified in a single step using HiTrap Chelating HP columns pre-charged with either Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> or Fe<sup>3+</sup>. The same purification protocol was used for all six proteins to facilitate comparison of results. The purity of each protein after this one-step purification was visually assessed using silver-stained SDS-polyacrylamide gels.

**Table 1.** Proteins purified using HiTrap Chelating HP columns

 charged with different metal ions.

Protein	Molecular v (M <sub>r</sub>	veight × 10³)	Tag position	Results
GFP-(His) <sub>6</sub>		28	C terminus	Figure 2
(His) <sub>6</sub> -ribokina	se	32	N terminus	Figure 3
(His) <sub>6</sub> -REX		40	N terminus	Figure 3
Lina-(His) <sub>6</sub>		44	C terminus	*
(His) <sub>6</sub> -R5P isor	merase	25	N terminus	*
(His) <sub>6</sub> -scFv frag (inclusion bodi		38	N terminus	Figure 5
* Data not shown				

#### **Disruption of cells**

Cells of *E. coli* expressing GFP-(His)<sub>6</sub> were homogenized by french press in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7, containing 0.5 M NaCl and 5 mM imidazole. Cells expressing (His)<sub>6</sub>-REX, (His)<sub>6</sub>-ribokinase and Lina-(His)<sub>6</sub> were freeze-thawed three times in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7, containing 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, lysozyme (1 mg/ml), and Benzonase<sup>®</sup> (10 U/ml; Merck).

Cells expressing (His)<sub>6</sub>-scFv fragment as inclusion bodies

were freeze-thawed three times in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7, containing 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 8 M urea. For each preparation, a ratio of 1 g of wet cells/5 ml of buffer was used. Cells expressing  $(His)_6$ -ribose-5-phosphate isomerase ( $(His)_6$ -R5P isomerase) were lysed using BugBuster<sup>TM</sup> (Novagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### **Column preparation**

HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns were used throughout this study, with a separate column prepared for each ion solution. Prior to use, the columns were pre-washed with 5 column volumes (CV) of distilled water using a syringe. For charging with ion, the columns were loaded with 1 CV of 0.1 M solutions (in water) of either NiSO<sub>4</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, or FeCl<sub>3</sub>. The columns were then washed a second time with 5 CV of distilled water to remove any unbound metal ions. The columns were placed in the ÄKTA<sup>TM</sup>explorer 10 system and a blank run was made using binding buffer (20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7, containing 0.5 M NaCl and 5 mM imidazole), followed by elution buffer (20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7, containing 0.5 M NaCl and 0.5 M imidazole), and concluding with binding buffer. Five column volumes of buffer was used in each step.

After each purification, the columns were stripped using 5 CV of binding buffer containing 50 mM EDTA. Each column was then washed with 5 CV of distilled water prior to ion charging, washing, and loading of a new, different protein. Columns that changed color after stripping were replaced. This happened with Fe<sup>3+</sup> and occasionally with Co<sup>2+</sup>.

#### **Protein purification**

Using a scouting method on ÄKTA explorer 10, protein samples were loaded onto the six different ion-charged columns. For each individual protein, the same volume of sample was loaded onto each column. After sample loading, columns were washed with 10 CV of binding buffer. Proteins were eluted using a 25 CV linear gradient going from 0 to 60% elution buffer, followed by a 5 CV linear gradient up to 100% elution buffer. Columns were then washed with 4 CV of 100% elution buffer and finally equilibrated with 5 CV of binding buffer. After purification, the purity of each protein was assessed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), using reduced samples and silver stained PhastGel<sup>TM</sup> Gradient 8–25% on a PhastSystem<sup>TM</sup>.

#### **Results and discussion**

For the six  $(\text{His})_6$ -tagged proteins used in the study, Ni<sup>2+</sup>charged HiTrap Chelating HP columns consistently yielded the best separation of the target protein from contaminating cellular proteins (Figs. 2–5). Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> were also effective for purifying most of the proteins, but all six proteins failed to bind to Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and only two—(His)<sub>6</sub>-ribokinase and (His)<sub>6</sub>-REX—bound to Fe<sup>3+</sup>-charged columns. For each individual protein, the amount and purity of the proteins recovered from the various columns were similar, as shown in the chromatograms and stained SDS-PAGE gels.

HiTrap Chelating HP columns charged with Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions were all effective for one-step purification of GFP-(His)<sub>6</sub> (Fig 2) and (His)<sub>6</sub>-ribokinase (Fig 3). For GFP-(His)<sub>6</sub>, the protein eluted from each column in sharp peaks that were well-separated from contaminating cellular material. Equivalent amounts of protein were recovered from each column. Ca<sup>2+</sup>and Fe<sup>3+</sup>-charged columns failed to bind the protein.

With (His)<sub>6</sub>-ribokinase, binding occurred with the Fe<sup>3+</sup>-charged column, but separation was poor, so the target protein was contaminated with other cellular material (Fig 3B, Lane 5). Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> columns yielded protein with similar levels of purity, although the peak fraction eluted from the Ni<sup>2+</sup> column was separated better from cellular contaminants (Fig 3A).

(His)<sub>6</sub>-REX did not bind at all to Ca<sup>2+</sup> and only very poorly to Cu<sup>2+</sup>. While the purification looked similar regardless of the ion used, the protein was not very pure, as indicated by the high level of other cellular materials in the peak fractions (Fig 4B). This protein in particular would need further purification steps for increasing the purity.

During gradient elution of Lina-(His)<sub>6</sub> (data not shown), the protein split into three peaks with slight variations in height. When analyzed by SDS-PAGE, each of the three peaks contained protein of the same molecular weight. A very low level of contaminating cellular proteins was observed in peak one with each metal ion-charged column. Although Ni<sup>2+</sup>- charged columns produced the best separation, the level of protein purity was still equivalent regardless of the ion used.

The separation achieved for  $(\text{His})_6$ -R5P isomerase using Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup> or Cu<sup>2+</sup> was adequate (data not shown), but too little protein was loaded onto the columns so the peaks were somewhat broad and low in height. However, all the columns yielded protein with a similar level of purity.

 $(\text{His})_6$ -scFv fragment was purified as inclusion bodies from *E. coli*. All buffers therefore contained 8 M urea to maintain denaturing conditions. Although separation was achieved, peaks were rather broad (Fig 5A). While all peak fractions contained some impurities, Ni<sup>2+</sup>-charged columns appeared to yield a purer protein band (Fig 5B, lane 4) than seen with Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, or Zn<sup>2+</sup> columns.

#### GFP-(His)

Column: HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml Sample: GFP-(His), Sample load: 0.5 ml Binding buffer (A): 20 mM TrisHCl, pH 7, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole Elution buffer (B): 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole 0.5 ml/min (78 cm/h) Flow rate: Fractions: 3 ml Gradient: 25 CV 0-60% elution buffer, 5 CV 60-100% elution buffer System: ÄKTAexplorer 10



**Fig 2, A-B.** Purification of GFP-(His)<sub>6</sub> on HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns charged with various metal ions.

- A. Chromatogram showing separation of the proteins on Ni<sup>2+</sup> (green), Cu<sup>2+</sup> (blue), Co<sup>2+</sup> (purple) and Zn<sup>2+</sup> (gray).
- **B.** SDS-PAGE analysis of peak fractions for purity.



(IIIS) <sub>6</sub> -IIDUKIIIA	56
Column:	HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml
Sample:	(His) <sub>e</sub> -ribokinase
Sample load:	2.0 ml
Binding buffer (A):	20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole
Elution buffer (B):	20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole
Flow rate:	0.5 ml/min (78 cm/h)
Fractions:	3 ml
Gradient:	25 CV 0–60% elution buffer, 5 CV 60–100% elution buffer
System:	ÄKTAexplorer 10



**Fig 3, A-B.** Purification of (His)<sub>6</sub>-ribokinase on HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns charged with various metal ions.

- A. Chromatogram showing separation of the proteins on Ni<sup>2+</sup> (green), Cu<sup>2+</sup> (blue), Co<sup>2+</sup> (purple), Zn<sup>2+</sup> (gray), and Fe<sup>3+</sup> (orange).
- **B.** SDS-PAGE analysis of peak fractions.



**Fig 4, A-B.** Purification of (His)<sub>6</sub>-REX on HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns charged with various metal ions.

- A. Chromatogram showing separation of the proteins on  $Ni^{2+}$  (green),  $Cu^{2+}$  (blue),  $Co^{2+}$  (purple),  $Zn^{2+}$  (gray) and  $Fe^{3+}$  (orange).
- **B.** SDS-PAGE analysis of peak fractions. A smaller amount of protein was loaded onto the gel for fraction 9 from the Cu<sup>2+</sup>-charged column.

(His),-scFv fragment (inclusion bodies)

(IIIS) <sub>6</sub> -SCI V II agine III (III ciusio II boules)			
Column:	HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml		
Sample:	(His) <sub>6</sub> -scFv fragment		
Sample load:	2.0 ml		
Binding buffer (A):	20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7, 8 M urea, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole		
Elution buffer (B):	20 mM Tris-HCI, pH 7, 8 M urea, 0.5 M NaCI, 0.5 M imidazole		
Flow rate:	0.5 ml/min (78 cm/h)		
Fractions:	3 ml		
Gradient:	25 CV 0–60% elution buffer, 5 CV 60–100% elution buffer		
System:	ÄKTA explorer 10		



Lanes 2-4. Ni<sup>2+</sup>-charged HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml Lane 2. Fr. 3 (wash) Lane 3. Fr. 6 (first elution peak) Lane 4. Fr. 9 (second elution peak) Lane 5-7. Cu<sup>2+</sup>-charged HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml Lane 5. Fr. 3 (wash) Lane 6. Fr. 6 (first elution peak) Lane 8-9. Co<sup>2+</sup>-charged HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml Lane 8. Fr. 6 (elution peak) Lane 9. Fr. 7 (elution peak) Lane 9. Fr. 7 (elution peak) Lane 10. Fr. 6 (elution peak) Lane 10. Fr. 6 (elution peak) Lane 11. Fr.7 (elution peak)

**Fig 5, A-B.** Purification of (His)<sub>6</sub>-scFv under denaturing conditions on HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns charged with various metal ions.

- A. Chromatogram showing separation of the proteins on Ni<sup>2+</sup> (green), Cu<sup>2+</sup> (blue), Co<sup>2+</sup> (purple) and Zn<sup>2+</sup> (gray).
- **B.** SDS-PAGE analysis of peak fractions.

## Purification of a protein tagged with (His)<sub>6</sub> at its N-terminus, C-terminus and both N- and C-termini using different metal ions

To determine if the position of a histidine tag can affect protein purification by IMAC, three variants of Maltose Binding Protein (MBP), M. 43 000 were constructed. These variants contained either a single (His), tag at the N-terminus, (His),-MBP or C-terminus, MBP-(His)<sub>6</sub> or two (His)<sub>6</sub> tags in both the N- and C-termini positions, (His)<sub>4</sub>-MBP-(His)<sub>4</sub>. The MBP coding sequence was derived from vector pMAL-c2 (New England Biolabs). All three constructs used the vector pET-23a(+) (Novagen), which performs transcription under the control of the T7 promoter. The three MBP variants were expressed as soluble proteins in E. coli BL21, where T7 RNA polymerase itself is induced by a temperature shift from 30 to 42 °C. Each of the three proteins was purified using the same protocol on HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml columns charged with different metal ions (Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, or Zn<sup>2+</sup>). The purity of each protein was visually assessed by SDS-PAGE and silver-staining.

#### **Disruption of cells**

*E. coli* cells expressing  $(\text{His})_6$ -MBP, MBP- $(\text{His})_6$  and  $(\text{His})_6$ -MBP- $(\text{His})_6$  were suspended 1:2 (w/v) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, containing 0.5 M NaCl and disrupted by sonication (3 x 20 s on ice). The cell lysates were centrifuged for 30 min at 15 000 rpm (Sorvall SS-34 rotor), and the supernatants were used for purification of the proteins.

#### **Column preparation**

A separate HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml column was prepared for each metal ion solution. Prior to use, the columns were washed using a syringe with 10 column volumes (CV) of distilled water to remove the storage solution (20% ethanol), then charged with ion by loading with 0.5 CV of 0.1 M solutions (in water) of either NiSO<sub>4</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, or ZnCl<sub>2</sub>. Unbound metal ions were removed by washing with 10 CV of distilled water.

After each purification, the columns were stripped using 6 CV of 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, containing 0.5 M NaCl and 50 mM EDTA. Each column was then washed with 10 CV of distilled water prior to ion charging, washing, and loading of a new different protein.

#### Protein purification

Prior to loading of sample on the column, each sample was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter. Columns were then loaded with 2 ml of sample and washed with 10 CV of binding buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, containing 0.5 M NaCl and 20 mM imidazole) prior to elution. Proteins were eluted using a 10 CV linear gradient from 0 to 100% elution buffer

(20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, containing 0.5 M NaCl and 0.5 M imidazole), followed by 5 CV 100% elution buffer. Columns were finally washed with 2 CV of binding buffer before stripping and re-charging with a new metal ion.

Due to slight variations in the expression levels of the three MBP proteins, the amounts of sample loaded on the columns varied between the runs. To assess the purity of each protein, 1 µl samples (non-reduced) were checked by SDS-PAGE using PhastSystem and silver-stained PhastGel Gradient 10-15%.

#### **Results and discussion**

The separation profiles in Figs. 6-8 and the summarized elution conditions in Table 2 indicate that the location of the (His)<sub>4</sub>-tag on the protein N-terminal, C-terminal, or both does affect the strength with which the protein binds to different metal ions. While all three MBP variants bound most strongly to the Ni2+-charged column, some differences were noted with the other metal ions, depending on the position of the tag. When the (His)<sub>6</sub>-tag was attached to the N-terminus of the protein, binding to Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, and Co<sup>2+</sup> columns was very similar, but weaker than that observed with nickel (Fig 6). Protein tagged at its C-terminus bound equally well to Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Co<sup>2+</sup>, but the strength of binding to these ions was weaker compared with Ni2+ and Cu2+. Columns charged with Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> gave similar binding, and both gave two peaks (Fig 7). The reason for the two peaks on the Ni2+- and Cu2+-charged HiTrap Chelating HP has not been investigated.

The binding strength for MBP labelled with (His)<sub>2</sub>-tags at both its N- and C-termini also varied. Ni2+ bound most strongly, followed by Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Co<sup>2+</sup> (Fig 8).

For each individual protein, the amount and purity of the proteins recovered from the various purifications were similar (data not shown).

When the purified variants of MBP were checked for their binding strength using BIACORE<sup>™</sup> (Fig 9), the MBP variant with two (His)<sub>4</sub>-tags exhibited the most stable binding to the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-charged chip surface, while both of the mono-(His),-tagged MBP variants dissociated rapidly (2). This is an expected result as a double tag will give increased binding strength.

Table 2. Summary of elution conditions of (His)<sub>6</sub>-MBP, MBP-(His)<sub>6</sub> and (His)<sub>6</sub>-MBP-(His)<sub>6</sub> on different metal ions. The higher % elution buffer (B) needed for elution the stronger binding of the protein.

Elution buffer (B), %				
	(His) <sub>6</sub> -MBP	MBP-(His) <sub>6</sub>	(His) <sub>6</sub> -MBP-(His) <sub>6</sub>	
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	46%	24%, 48%	59%	
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	35%	33%	43%	
$Co^{2+}$	38%	34%	33%	
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	38%	23%, 46%	46%	

(H	is),	,-M	BP
----	------	-----	----

Column:	Zn <sup>2+</sup> -, Cu <sup>2+</sup> -, Co <sup>2+</sup> - or Ni <sup>2+</sup> -charged HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml
Sample:	(His) <sub>6</sub> -MBP
Sample load:	2 ml
Binding buffer (A):	20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl
Wash buffer:	20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole
Elution buffer (B):	20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole
Flow rate:	1 ml/min (156 cm/h)
Fractions:	1 ml
Gradient.	Linear 10 CV 0–100% elution buffer 5 CV 100% elution buffer



Fig 6. Purification of (His)<sub>6</sub>-MBP, (protein is tagged at its N-terminus).

#### MBP-(His)<sub>6</sub>

Column: Sample: Sample load: Binding buffer (A): Wash buffer: Elution buffer (B): Flow rate: Fractions: Gradient:  $\rm Zn^{2*-}, Cu^{2*-}, Co^{2*-}$  or Ni^{2\*-charged HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml MBP-(His) $_6$  2 ml

20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole 1 ml/min (156 cm/h) 1 ml

Linear, 10 CV 0-100% elution buffer, 5 CV 100% elution buffer



**Fig 7.** Purification of MBP-(His)<sub>6</sub>, (protein is tagged at its C-terminus).

#### (His)<sub>6</sub>-MBP-(His)<sub>6</sub>

Column:	Zn <sup>2+</sup> -, Cu <sup>2+</sup> -, Co <sup>2+</sup> - or Ni <sup>2+</sup> -charged HiTrap Chelating HP 1 ml
Sample:	(His) <sub>6</sub> -MBP-(His) <sub>6</sub>
Sample load:	2 ml
Binding buffer (A):	20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl
Wash buffer:	20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole
Elution buffer (B):	20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole
Flow rate:	1 ml/min (156 cm/h)
Fractions:	1 ml
Gradient:	Linear, 10 CV 0–100% elution buffer, 5 CV 100% elution buffer



**Fig 8.** Purification of  $(His)_6$ -MBP- $(His)_6$ , (protein is tagged at its N- and C-termini).



**Fig 9.** Overlay plot showing binding of MBP tagged with  $(His)_6$  at its N-terminus, C-terminus or both N- and C-termini to a Ni<sup>2+</sup>-charged chip using BIACORE. The proteins were all injected for the same length of time and at the same concentration (120 nM). The figure is used with kind permission from Elsevier Science (2).

## Conclusions

The results are summarized in Table 3.

Nickel is the first choice for metal ion according to the results in this investigation using these proteins, but to achieve optimal separation of  $(His)_6$ -tagged proteins from cellular contaminants, it may be necessary to test several metal ions for their ability to bind the tagged proteins. Some ions may be completely ineffective, as was observed with calcium for the six proteins purified in the first section of this study.

As seen in this study, the strength of binding between (His)<sub>6</sub>tagged proteins and metal ions varies depending on the nature of the protein as well as the ion. Therefore, it is important to keep these factors in mind when making constructs and devising purification schemes, especially those involving proteins that may be difficult to purify or for proteins that should not interact with certain metal ions due to further use.

It is showed that for Maltose Binding Protein (MBP), the position of the  $(His)_6$ -tag can influence how well the protein binds to certain metal ions, and ultimately, how effective the purification is. Although we cannot conclude that all proteins exhibit similar behavior, changing the location of the tag may be an important factor to consider during optimization of an IMAC purification protocol.

**Table 3.** The general patterns observed for the metal ions used to purify the six  $(His)_6$ -tagged proteins and the three variants of MBP used in this study are as follows:

Ni > Cu > Co > Zn	True for GFP-(His) <sub>6</sub> , Lina-(His) <sub>6</sub> , (His) <sub>6</sub> -scFv fragment (inclusion bodies)
Ni > Cu = Co > Zn	(His) <sub>6</sub> -MBP (N-terminus tag)
Ni = Cu > Co = Zn	MBP-(His) <sub>6</sub> (C-terminus tag)
Ni > Cu > Zn > Co	(His) <sub>6</sub> -MBP-(His) <sub>6</sub> (N- and C-termini tags)
Ni > Co = Zn > Cu > Fe	True for (His) <sub>6</sub> -ribokinase, (His) <sub>6</sub> -R5P isomerase (Fe <sup>3+</sup> did not bind)
Ni > Co = Zn > Fe >> Cu	True for (His) <sub>6</sub> -REX

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following individuals for providing the protein samples used in this study:

David Drew, Department of Biochemistry, Stockholm University, Sweden [GFP-(His)<sub>6</sub>].

EvaLena Andersson, Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, Sweden [(His)<sub>6</sub>-ribokinase and (His)<sub>6</sub>-R5P isomerase]. Linda Öster, Molecular Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden [(His)<sub>6</sub>-REX].

Rickard Johannisson, Center for Surface Biotechnology, Uppsala University, Sweden [Lina-(His)<sub>6</sub>].

Jan-Christer Jansson, Center for Surface Biotechnology, Uppsala University, Sweden [(His)<sub>6</sub>-scFv fragment].

Jonas Lidholm, Pharmacia Diagnostics, Uppsala, Sweden [different (His)<sub>6</sub>-tagged variants of MBP].

Åsa Frostell Karlsson, Biacore AB, Uppsala, Sweden [Biacore runs].

### References

 The Recombinant Protein Handbook: Protein Amplification and Simple Purification, Amersham Biosciences, 18-1142-75, pp. 41–58 (2002).

2. Nieba, L. et al. Analytical Biochemistry 252, 217–228 (1997).

## **Ordering information**

Product	Quantity	Code No.
HiTrap Chelating HP	5 x 1 ml	17-0408-01
HiTrap Chelating HP	1 x 5 ml	17-0409-01
Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow	50 ml	17-0574-01
HiTrap Desalting	5 x 5 ml	17-1408-01
HiPrep™ 26/10 Desalting	1 (20 ml)	17-5087-01
Recombinant Protein Handbook, Protein Amplification and Simple Purification	1	18-1142-75
Affinity Chromatography Handbook Principles and Methods	x, 1	18-1022-29
Affinity Chromatography, Columns and Media Guide	1	18-1121-86
HiTrap Column Guide	1	18-1129-81

#### www.chromatography.amershambiosciences.com

Asia Pacific Tel: +852 2811 8693 Fax: +852 2811 5251 Australasia Tel: +61 2 9899 0999 Fax: +61 2 9899 7511 Austria Tel: 01 576 0616 20 Fax: 01 576 0616 27 Belgium Tel: 0800 73 888 Fax: 03 272 1637 Canada Tel: 1 800 463 5800 Fax: 1 800 567 1008 Central, East, South East Europe Tel: +43 1 982 3826 Fax: +43 1 985 8327 Denmark Tel: 45 16 2400 Fax: 45 16 2424 Finland & Baltics Tel: +358 (0)9 512 3940 Fax: +558 (0)9 512 1710 France Tel: 0169 36 67 00 Fax: 0169 41 9677 Germany Tel: 0761 4903 401 Fax: 0761 4903 405 Italy Tel: 02 27322 1 Fax: 02 27302 212 Japan Tel: 81 3 5331 9336 Fax: 81 3 5331 9330 Fax: 81 3 5531 9306 Fax: 81 3 5531 9306 Fax: 45 11 3667 5700 Fax: +55 11 3667 87 99 Middle East and Africa Tel: +30 2 10 96 00 687 Fax: +30 2 10 96 00 693 Netherlands Tel: 0165 580 410 Fax: 0165 580 410 Noway Tel: 2318 5800 Fax: 45 10 537 South East Saia Tel: 60 3 8024 2080 Fax: 63 8024 2090 Spain Tel: 93 594 49 50 Fax: 93 594 49 55 Sweden Tel: +46 18 612 19 00 Fax: +46 18 612 19 10 Switzerland Tel: 01 802 81 50 Fax: 01 802 81 50 Fax: 0180 281 50 Fax: 0800 616 927 USA Tel: +1 800 526 3593 Fax: +1 877 295 8102

HiTrap, HiPrep, Sepharose, ÄKTA, PhastGel and PhastSystem are trademarks of Amersham Biosciences Limited. Amersham and Amersham Biosciences are trademarks of Amersham Biosciences Jet Trademarks of Amersham Biosciences Limited. Amersham Biosciences are trademarks of Amersham Biosciences Jet Trademark of Biacore AB. Amersham Biosciences AB Björkgatan 30, SE-751 84 Uppsala, Sweden Amersham Biosciences Corp. 800 Centennial Avenue, PO Box 1327, Piscataway, NJ 08855, USA Amersham Biosciences Europe GmbH Munzinger Strasse 9, D-79111 Freiburg, Germany Amersham Biosciences K.K. Sanken Building, 3-25-1, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-0073, Japan. All goods and services are sold subject to the terms and conditions of sale of the company within the Amersham Biosciences group that supplies them. A copy of these terms and conditions is available on request.

